

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/725,477	ISHIZUKA, HIROAKI	
	Examiner Saeid Ebrahimi-Dehkordy	Art Unit 2626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Saeid Ebrahimi-Dehkordy. (3) Kimberly A. Williams.
 (2) Surinder Sachar. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 September 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Williams (6241673), Browning (US 6707581).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

KAW Williams
KIMBERLY WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Sachar emphasized that the invention is drawn to a handheld device that relies on the internet service provider (ISP) to connect and print to and from a network. An amendment to the claims to reflect these limitations is proposed. Williams is drawn to a medical ultrasound machine that wirelessly sends data over a network to be printed. The claimed invention directly connects to the network via an ISP. Mr. Sachar further emphasized that it would not have been obvious to modify the ultrasound machine to be handheld. Therefore, in view of the proposed amendment, the modification of Williams with the Browning reference would not have been suggested.